C.S. 1001 (1)

SINGLE BENCH

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 1466 /2005

PETITIONER : Smt. P. Sahu, W/o. Shri R.P. Sahu, aged about 53 years, Suspended District Re-habitation Officer, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Government of Chhattisgarh Department of Women, Child & Social Welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2. The Deputy Secretary Government of Chhattisgarh Department of Women, Child & Social Welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3. The Collector District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

P.R. No. 1559/05 Presented by Shri Sanyal dated 11-04-05

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

26

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.1466/05 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

13.4.2005

Shri Rakesh Kumar Jha, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State.

By this writ petition the petitioner, who is working as Re-habilitation Officer, Bilaspur, has questioned the legality and propriety of order dated 29.3.2005 issued by respondent No.2 whereby she has been transferred from Bilaspur to Jashpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that earlier petitioner was suspended on the ground of misconduct and after filing of the writ petition by the petitioner, she has been reinstated, but now she has been transferred to a far off place Jashpur. He further submits that petitioner is a lady suffering from Gastro Intestinal system and she has some ailment in the food pipe also. Therefore, it is difficult for the petitioner to serve at such a distant place. Moreover, the petitioner's husband is also working on the post of LDC in the same department. In the circumstances, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 raising all her grievances and respondent No.2 be directed to consider the representation of the petitioner sympathetically looking to the ailment of petitioner and the fact that her husband is also working in the same department at Bilaspur, to which learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation within a period of ten days from today to respondent No.2 raising all her grievances and in turn

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

२७

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation of petitioner sympathetically after taking into consideration the points raised by her within another one month from the date of receipt of the representation in accordance with law. Till the decision of the representation the petitioner should not be relieved, if she has not already been relieved. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, all the pending M.W.P. & I.As are also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	